UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION

	Cenobic	Evari	sto Santiago-Santiago	Case Number:	CR-08-426-001-PHX-GMS	
	llowing fa	cts are	CP 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1), established: th, as applicable.)	the issue of detention ha	s been submitted to the Court. I conclude that	
	the defendant is a danger to the community and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.					
	the defendant is a serious flight risk and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT					
	(1)	There	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed			
			an offense for which a maximum to 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.	erm of imprisonment of te C. App. § 1901 et seq.	en years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§	
			an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924	l(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).		
			an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 23 imprisonment of ten years or more	32b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crir is prescribed.	nes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of	
			an offense involving a minor victim	prescribed in	1	
	(2)	The d	efendant has not rebutted the presions will reasonably assure the appe	umption established by a carance of the defendant a	finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.	
			Alter	native Findings		
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
	(4)	The de	efendant has failed to prove by clear	and convincing evidence	that he does not pose a risk of flight.	
			PART II WRITTEN STATE (Check on	MENT OF REASONS FO	OR DETENTION	
	(1)		hat the credible testimony and informaddanger that:	ation submitted at the hea	ring establish by clear and convincing evidence	

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
	The de	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
	In add The de	ition: efendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violated conditions of supervised release.
time of		ourt incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the tring in this matter.
		PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
appeal of the l	ctions fa . The de Jnited S	efendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in acility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending efendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court tates or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ne United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
Court. service	a copy of Pursuate of a co	ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District nt to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of py of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.
	es suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial iently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and potential third party custodian.
Date:	: <u>C</u>	Michelle H. Burns United States Magistrate Judge